Differences in migrant mortality:

For a multilevel approach of spatial inequalities using a fine granularity. An application to Switzerland, 1990-2008.

Jonathan Zufferey Department of Economics and Institute of Demographic and Life Course Studies **University of Geneva**



FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES ÉCONOMIQUES ET SOCIALES

Swiss National Centre of Competence in Resea

Context:

- In many Western countries, the mortality force is lower for migrants than for the natives (figure 1).
- This feature is often called the migrant paradox because of the



migrants' lower socioeconomic status (Abraido-Lanza et al. 1999). Thus, the socioeconomic variables usually used to explain the mortality differentials are not suitable for migrants.

Issues:

• Some studies have shown a low spatial heterogeneity among Swiss cantons (Wanner et al. 1997). Can we observe the same pattern for migrants living in Switzerland?

 Should we use the environmental dimension to have a better understanding of the migrant mortality differentials?

•Is there an intra-regional varaibility which has to be taken into account?

Figure 1: Life expectancy by nationality in the resident population living in Switzerland, men (left) and women (right), 2000.

1. Data and methods:

- The data come from the Swiss National Cohort (Bopp et al. 2009), a longitudinal research platform based on the probabilistic linkage of death records (1990-2008) to censuses (1990 and 2000).
- Two Quasipoisson generalized linear models were used to estimate

3. A large intra-regional heterogeneity

- There is an important variability at a fine granularity (here the 2896) communes of Switzerland).
- As shown by figure 4, the standardized mortality ratio for small areas differs from the estimation at a regional level.

the mortality force by area:

- Controlling for age and sex only.
- 2. Add of demographic and socioeconomic variables.

• Estimation of a standardized mortality ratio (SMR): the ratio between observed and expected deaths according to our models.

• Because of the low nomber of events in some regions (especially for small area), we used bayesian models with a spatial autocorrelation parameter to optimize the SMR (Lawson 2009).

2. From a low spatial gradient...

• As shown by figure 2, the geographic heterogeneity of mortality is relatively low among the 26 Swiss cantons.

- The variability drops when controlled by socioeconomic factors.
- Regional differentials are still more important for the migrant population.

... to an important variability



Figure 2: Variance of the SMR

• The local environment seems to be a major issue in migrant mortality.



4. Conclusion

• When weighted by population size, the heterogeneity among changes completely regions (figure 3).

• The natives have homogeneous spatial regimes of mortality.

 An important differential appears for migrants. The environmental dimension has to be taken into account.



•Spatial inequalities on mortality are important for the migrant population but minor for the Swiss.

• The heterogeneity intra-regions is high, so, there are significant local dynamics influencing mortality.

• A multilevel approach on migrant mortality using a fine granularity with environmental and sociocultural factors at a local scale should therfore allow a better understanding of the migrant mortality.

References

•Abraido-Lanza A., Dohrenwend B., Ng-Mak D., and Turner J. (1999). The Latino mortality paradox: a test of the salmon bias and healthy migrant hypotheses. American Journal of Public Health 89 (10), pp. 1543–1548.

•Bopp M., Spoerri A., Zwahlen M., Gutzwiller F., Paccaud F., Braun-Fahrlaender C., Rougemont A., and Egger M. (2009). Cohort profile: The swiss national cohort – a longitudinal study of 6.8 million people. International Journal of Epidemiology 38 (2), pp. 379–384. •Lawson A. (2009). Bayesian disease mapping: hierarchical modeling in spatial epidemiology. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall.

•Wanner P., Fei P., and Cotter S. (1997). Mortalité par âge et cause de décès en Suisse: Une analyse des disparités cantonales durant la période 1978/83 à 1988/93. European Journal of Population 13 (4), pp. 381–399.